



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 15.12.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-444/E- 385889/2025 Appeal/14th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515399/E- 88895

Maharaja Surajmal Teacher Training College, Khasra No. 2655, 2657, Near Pakka Bagh, Bharatpur, Rajasthan – 321001	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Anil Kumar Srivastava, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	20.11.2025
Date of Pronouncement	15.12.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Maharaja Surajmal Teacher Training College, Khasra No. 2655, 2657, Near Pakka Bagh, Bharatpur, Rajasthan - 321001** dated 05.10.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2627202505144486/RAJASTHAN/2025/REJC/1890** dated 07.08.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "(i) The institution has not obtained the recommendation of the State Government. (ii) The institution has not uploaded NAAC Certificate. The institution has not claimed to NRIF Ranking. (iii) The institution has not uploaded Affiliating Body details with supporting documents. (iv) The institution has not uploaded Not-for Profit Certificate issued by Competent Authority of State Government. (v) The institution has not uploaded Society/Trust Members details issued by the Competent Government Authority. (vi) The institution has not uploaded initial and latest affiliation orders issued by the Affiliating University for all multi-disciplinary and teacher education programmes. (vii) The institution has not uploaded NCTE revised recognition order for B.Ed. course in favour of name of applicant institution issued by WRC, NCTE. (viii) The institution has not uploaded details of admitted students for all running programmes along with supporting documents. (ix) The institution has not uploaded certified land documents clearly mentioning all the Khasra Nos. and total land area issued by Competent Authority of State Government and the building is situated on a single plot. (x) The institution has not uploaded Mutation Certificate issued by Competent Authority of State Government. (xi) As per the Patta Vilekh uploaded by the institution in the column of CLU, it is mentioned in Para 5 that the land shall be utilized for either residential/commercial purpose and it will not be utilized for any other purpose. The institution has not uploaded Land Use Certificate (CLU) for educational purpose mentioning all Khasra Nos. issued by Competent Government Authority. (xii) The institution has not uploaded latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) issued by Competent Authority of State Government. (xiii) The institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government mentioning the name of institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey

No. and mentioning the total land area and total built-up area earmarked for each course being run in the premises and the demarcated land area and built-up area for the teacher education programmes including multi-disciplinary programmes. (xiv) Uploaded Building Completion Certificate is issued by the competent govt. engineer but not in the prescribed format of NCTE. The institution has not uploaded Building Safety Certificate in adherence of safety guidelines as prescribed by National/State Disaster Management Authority issued by Competent Government Authority. (xv) The institution has not uploaded Fire Safety Certificate issued by Fire Safety Department, Government of Rajasthan verifiable on the official portal of the Fire Department, Government of Rajasthan at URL https://lsgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track_application.aspx (xvi) The institution has not uploaded latest Building Completion Certificate (BCC) in the prescribed format of NCTE (17 points) issued by the Competent Government Authority for all the courses being conducted in the premises. (xvii) The website of institution has NOT been updated and maintained in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. (xviii) The institution has not uploaded geotag photos with different angles of Lift, Ramp, Electricity, Safe Drinking Water and Accessible Toilet indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph. (xix) The institution has not uploaded geotag photos with different angles of front view, rear view, multipurpose hall, library, lab 1, lab 2, lab 3 and playground indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Anil Kumar Srivastava, Principal of Maharaja Surajmal Teacher Training College, Khasra No. 2655, 2657, Near Pakka Bagh, Bharatpur, Rajasthan - 321001 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 20.11.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1. The State Government of Rajasthan has a policy to issue recommendation after issuance of LOI by WRC. 2. A copy of the NAAC certificate is attached. 3. The name of the Affiliating University is – Maharaja Surajmal Brij University, Bharatpur, The details of the NOC of the Affiliating Body for Integrated Teacher Education Program - letter No.-P.3(26) MSBU/Accad-II/2024/678-86, Affiliating Body NOC Date – 23/05/2025. Supporting

documents with (i) NOC for ITEP of University (ii) PNOC of Teacher Education Program (iii) NOC for Multidisciplinary Program (iv) Permanent Affiliation of Existing Teacher Education Program (v) Affiliation of all multidisciplinary program are uploaded. 4. The institution is being run by Maharaja Surajmal Educational Society. The Society is registered as a Not-For-Profit Organization under the Society Registration Act 1058, of the State Government of Rajasthan by the Registrar of Society. A self-declaration/undertaking by Principal (signing authority) stating that Maharaja Surajmal Teachers Training College is a Not Profit organization and a copy of Form 12 AA issued by the income Tax department is also enclosed as the evidence of Not-for-Profit organization. These documents are also considered by State Government during grant of NOC. An Affidavit on Non-Judicial Stamp of Rs. 100 for Not for Profit and Form 10 AC issued by Competent Authority for this purpose is attached. 5. The Institution has now uploaded Society/Trust Members details. 6. Existing Teacher Education Program has got permanent affiliation, therefore, there is no provision for issuance of affiliation orders after permanent affiliation. Initial Affiliation Orders for all multidisciplinary programs are now uploaded (i) Permanent affiliation order of Teachers Education Program (ii) Affiliation order 2023-24 for Multidisciplinary Program (iii) Affiliation order session 2024-25 for multidisciplinary Program. 7. The Institution has uploaded NCTE revised recognition order for B.Ed. course. 8. List of admitted students in all programmes are as follows- (i) B.Ed. First and Second Year 2024-25 (ii) D.El.Ed. First and Second Year 2024-25 (iii) B.A. 1st, 2nd and 3rd Year (iv) B.Sc. 1st, 2nd, 3rd Year Session 2024-25 are uploaded. 9. The Institution has uploaded site plan and certified land documents clearly mentioning all the Khara Nos. and total land area as issued by the Competent Authority of State Government that shows the building is situated on a signal Plot. 10. Mutation Certificate issued by Urban Improvement Trust (UIT), Bharatpur, Rajasthan and Jama Bandi issued by the competent authority of State Government is uploaded. 11. The institution has uploaded Land Use Certificate (CLU) for educational purpose mentioning all khasra Nos. 2655 and 2657 issued by the UIT, Bharatpur. 12. The Institution has uploaded Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) issued by the Competent Authority of State Government. 13. Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government mentioning the name of the institution, Khasra No. 2655, 2657 and total land area and total built up area marked for each course being run in the premises and the demarcated land area and built-up area for teacher education programs

including multidisciplinary program is uploaded. 14. Building Completion certificate issued by competent Govt. Engineer in prescribed format of NCTE is now uploaded. Building Safety Certificate in prescribed format is also issued by the Competent Govt. engineer of State Government is uploaded. 15. The Fire Safety Certificate issued by the Fire Safety Department, Government of Rajasthan is now uploaded. 16. Building Completion Certificate (BCC) in the prescribed format of NCTE (17 points) issued by the Competent Government Authority for all the courses being conducted in the premises is now uploaded. 17. The website of the institution has now been updated and maintained in compliance to the provision under clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulation 2014, as amended from time to time. 18. The institution has Ramp, Electricity with power backup facilities, Safe drinking water and accessible toilets separately in sufficient number. Geotagged all facilities are available on website of institution. 19. Geotag photos with different angles of front View, rear view, multipurpose hall, library, lab 1, lab – 2 and lab –3 and playground indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph are now uploaded.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held online on 20th November, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 30.05.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 07.08.2025.

The instant matter placed in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held on 20.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant

institution. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. The appellant institution, in its appeal and during the hearing, contended that the deficiencies have been duly rectified and that the documents now furnished establish compliance with the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations, therefore, its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee upon perusal of the records and after hearing the oral submissions of the appellant, noted that the institution has placed on record a compliance report along with various supporting documents in purported fulfillment of the deficiencies cited in the impugned refusal order.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 - Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 07.08.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a **direction to verify the documents submitted in Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the WRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations.** The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the WRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the WRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to WRC with a direction to verify the authenticity of the documents submitted before Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the WRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the WRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the WRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Maharaja Surajmal Teacher Training College, Khasra No. 2655, 2657, Near Pakka Bagh, Bharatpur, Rajasthan - 321001.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 15.12.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-470/E- 388800/2025 Appeal/14th Meeting, 2025

APPLERC202515430 / E- 22895

Arunachal University of Studies, Survey No. – L.P.C. No. NMS/LPC/TFR-45/2013, Knowledge City, NH-52, Namsai, Lohit, Arunachal Pradesh – 792103	<u>Vs</u>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Sh. Divyanshu Goel, Registrar
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	20.11.2025
Date of Pronouncement	15.12.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Arunachal University of Studies, Survey No. – L.P.C. No. NMS/LPC/TFR-45/2013, Knowledge City, NH-52, Namsai, Lohit, Arunachal Pradesh - 792103** dated 11.11.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **F. No. NCTE/ERC/2526202402061058/ARUNACHAL PRADESH/2024/REJC/58** dated 05.11.2025 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that “As per Appeal Report:- The institution has submitted teaching faculty, which is not in the prescribed format. 2. Appointment letter of Dr. Kranthi Kumar mentions the name of Ms. Suchita in salutation, which is not correct.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Sh. Divyanshu Goel, Registrar of Arunachal University of Studies, Survey No. – L.P.C. No. NMS/LPC/TFR-45/2013, Knowledge City, NH-52, Namsai, Lohit, Arunachal Pradesh - 792103 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 20.11.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1. We wish to state that no prescribed format for submitting faculty details was initially available on the application portal. Multiple formats accessible on public domains created confusion, hence, we had earlier uploaded the faculty details on the NCTE portal without a specific format. Subsequently, upon our request, NCTE has provided the prescribed format, and we are now resubmitting the requisite faculty data accordingly for their kind consideration and approval. We earnestly request an opportunity to comply, as ours is an institution located in the extreme northeastern region, dedicated to serving the educational needs of the tribal communities. Granting approval for the ITEP course will greatly benefit the tribal youth of Arunachal Pradesh by enabling them to pursue teacher education within the state itself, without the need to travel outside for such opportunities. 2. This was a typographic error we have rectified it and uploaded the correct appointment letter to the NCTE appeal portal.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held online on 20th November, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Eastern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 23.02.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the ERC vide order dated 05.11.2025.

The instant matter placed in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held on 20.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. The appellant institution, in its appeal and during the hearing, contended that the deficiencies have been duly rectified and that the documents now furnished establish compliance with the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations, therefore, its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee upon perusal of the records and after hearing the oral submissions of the appellant, noted that the institution has placed on record a compliance report along with various supporting documents in purported fulfillment of the deficiencies cited in the impugned refusal order.

The Committee further noted the deliberations and resolution adopted by the General Body of NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28th July 2025, which is reproduced below in extenso:

“Decision of the Council:

- i. In view of the above, the Council discussed and deliberated the agenda in detail and approved the option III proposed by the Committee as under:***

The final opportunity be provided to all such TEIs including those institutions of which applications were refused/rejected by giving an opportunity to apply afresh online on NCTE Portal. Those institutions which have

earlier submitted Transition applications in response to NCTE Public Notice dated 05.02.2024, may be exempted from making payment of processing fee, subject to specifying/mentioning the Registration number of the earlier application submitted.

- ii. The portal be opened as above and a Public Notice be issued with direction to all recognised existing TEIs offering B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course (prior to omission of the Appendix-13) to apply afresh except the institutions which have either been already transited into ITEP or issued Letter of Intent (LOI) by the Regional Committee concerned.***
- iii. The council also decided that the Guidelines for transforming NCTE recognised stand-alone Teacher Education Institution into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institution issued by NCTE be enclosed with the Public Notice for information to all concerned.***

The Committee further noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 - Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution and keeping in view of the decision of the General Body of the NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28.07.2025, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 05.11.2025 and remand the matter to the Eastern Regional Committee (ERC) for fresh consideration. The Regional Committee shall ensure assessing the case of the appellant institution comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, considering all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including

compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The Regional Committee shall also ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the Regional Committee shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the direction contained herein.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 05.11.2025 and remand the matter to the Eastern Regional Committee (ERC) for fresh consideration in accordance with the directions specified hereinabove. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the direction contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Arunachal University of Studies, Survey No. – L.P.C. No. NMS/LPC/TFR-45/2013, Knowledge City, NH-52, Namsai, Lohit, Arunachal Pradesh - 792103.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, City-Itanagar, Pin-791111, State-Arunachal Pradesh.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 15.12.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-446/E- 386090/2025 Appeal/14th Meeting, 2025

APPLSRC202515401/ E-88895

Valaballary Channabasaveshwar Education Society's Arts and Commerce College, Survey no. 189, Gulbarga-Raichur by Pass Road, Lingasugur, Karnataka – 584122	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	20.11.2025
Date of Pronouncement	15.12.2025

31237/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Valaballary Channabasaveshwar Education Societys Arts and Commerce College, Survey no. 189, Gulbarga-Raichur by Pass Road, Lingasugur, Karnataka - 584122** dated 09.10.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **F. No. NCTE/SRC/2627202505124241/KARNATAKA/2025/REJC/1860** dated 26.08.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "As per the land documents uploaded, the land is in the name of Sh. Basavraja Patil i.e. individual, which is not acceptable as per NCTE Regulations."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from Valaballary Channabasaveshwar Education Societys Arts and Commerce College, Survey no. 189, Gulbarga-Raichur by Pass Road, Lingasugur, Karnataka - 584122 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 20.11.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "That, as per your observation in refusal order for SCN dated: 26-08-2025, this appeal is preferred on the following grounds. 1. That, earlier you have observed that, the institute i.e., ValaBallary Channabasaveshwar Education Society is registered society but the property in question is not in the name of the Society and you have observed that, the property is in the name of individual person by name Sri Basavaraj Patil. The land in question wherein the institution is running its colleges and other educational activities is not the individual property, but it is the property of the society. 2. That, it is essential to submit that, the society was registered under the Karnataka Societies Registration Act on 30-12-1983 before the Registrar of Societies at Raichur. At the time of formation of the society Sri Basavaraj Patil Anwari was the president/promoter of the society. As per the Karnataka Land Reforms Act, the society or any trust cannot hold any agricultural land. In view of the provisions of the act, the first meeting of the society was unanimously resolved that, the land has to be purchased in the name of Basavaraj Patil Anwari who was the president/ promoter of the society. (APPENDIX – C) 3. In the land purchase document No.1314/83-84 dated: 02-03-1984 on the file of sub-registrar Lingasugur. As per the recitals in the sale deed it is clearly mentioned in

the second line of the sale deed that, the property purchased in the capacity of promoter of the society. Even in page No.3 of the sale deed at second line it is also clearly mentioned the property was purchased for the purpose of educational institution. Under these circumstances the said property cannot be called as individual property. (APPENDIX – C). Please refer Kannada version for actual meaning 4. Thereafter VCB society approached Tahsildar Lingasugur for conversion of the land into NA. The Tahsildar as per his order No.ALN/86-87/1/87-88/31 dated:25-03-1988 had approved conversion. The said NA order also clearly shows that, it was for the purpose of establishment of the educational institution in the said land. Again, after formation of NA, the society also approached the planning authority Bangalore for approval of the converted land into single layout for educational purpose as per the order dated:20-12-2004. These two documents clearly goes to show that, the property is not the individual property, but it is belonging to the VCB Education Society. (APPENDIX – E) 5. Afterwards the society also approached town municipal council Lingasugur for issue of mutation and Khata in the name of society. The TMC Lingasugur had allotted municipal number of the society bearing municipal number as 2-11-189/1. The TMC Lingasugur as per their resolution dated: 14-06-2005 had also exempted the development tax as well as payment of yearly taxes of the property. (APPENDIX – D), 6. These documents also clearly shows that, the property is not the individual property, but it is belonging to the VCB society. The TMC Lingasugur had issued the Khata (form No.3) for the period 2009-10 wherein it shows that, the property is in the name of VCB society. The said documents also enclosed herein. (APPENDIX – F) 7. Apart from the above documents the society had also made construction of their building in the said property. Accordingly the society approached Town Municipal Lingasugur for issue of construction permission. The TMC Lingasugur on 8-02-2010 had issued the construction permission in the name of society (APPENDIX – G) 8. The TMC Lingasugur had issued form No.3 i.e., e-khata in 2015 of the property of the society showing details of municipal number and ownership of the property. The English translation of the same is enclosed herein. (APPENDIX – H) 9. The VCB society approached UGC (University Grant's Commission), a autonomous body of Central Government for construction of women's hostel. The UGC on 28-01-2010 had granted an amount of Rs. 40,00,000/- for construction of such building. As per the norms of the UGC the funds will be sanctioned for the educational society and UGC will not sanction the amount for any individual. This aspect will clearly goes to show

that, the property in question is belonging to the VCB society but not Sri Basavaraj Patil as observed by you. (APPENDIX – I). 10. The Government of Karnataka looking into the running of the educational institution in the above said survey number having its TMC number as 2-11-189/1 had given permission for fire safety measures in the institution as per their letter dated: 02-06-2025. The Government of Karnataka after considering all the materials and the documents had recommended for fire safety measures to the institution. The said letter will clearly mentioned the survey number of the land and the TMC number of the society property. This letter also clearly indicates the property in question is belonging to the society. (APPENDIX – J) 11. Looking into all angles it is very clear that, the land Sy.No.189 having its Municipal number as 2-11-189/1 of Lingasugur town is the exclusive property of the Valaballary Educational Society who are running the educational institution in the said property since for the last 40 years. Therefore, considering all the above materials and the documents enclosed herein, this authority may consider the grievances of the society and the observation made by the council be set aside and the appellate authority may consider that the property in question is belonging to the society but not individual. Considering these facts kindly sanction ITEP course for our educational institution. Authorized Signature VCB Education Society Place: Lingasugur Date : 09.10.2025"

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held online on 20th November, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 30.05.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the SRC vide order dated 26.08.2025.

The instant matter placed in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held on 20.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee examined the documents submitted along with the appeal, and after examining the appeal, the impugned order, and the documents submitted, the Appeal

Committee finds that the Regional Committee rightly refused recognition on the sole but fundamental ground that the land documents uploaded by the appellant institution stand in the name of Sh. Basavaraja Patil, an individual, and not in the name of the sponsoring society. Under Regulation 8(4)(i) of the NCTE (Recognition Norms & Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), recognition cannot be granted unless the institution or sponsoring society possesses the required land free from encumbrances, either through ownership or a valid Government/Government-body lease of not less than 30 years, duly reflected in registered title documents and revenue records.

The appellant's submissions—regarding historical usage, municipal entries, NA conversion, planning permissions, khata extracts, building permissions, UGC grant, and fire safety approval - do not constitute or substitute for registered title in favour of the society and cannot cure the statutory defect. Administrative permissions do not override revenue records, nor can they operate as a transfer of ownership. No registered transfer deed, mutation entry, or Certificate of Land in the prescribed format issued by the District Magistrate evidencing title in the name of the society has been produced. The burden of establishing lawful title rests on the appellant, and the deficiency goes to the root of eligibility.

Hence, the Appeal Committee after perusing the documents which were made available on records is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above grounds. The Appeal Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 26.08.2025 issued by SRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 26.08.2025 issued by SRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 26.08.2025 issued by SRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Valaballary Channabasaveshwar Education Societys Arts and Commerce College, Survey no. 189, Gulbarga-Raichur by Pass Road, Lingasugur, Karnataka - 584122.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M.S.Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 15.12.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-438/E- 384555/2025 Appeal/14th Meeting, 2025

APPLNRC202515397 / E-88895

HR Institute of Education Training and Research, Plot No. 8th Km Ston, Delhi-Meerut Road, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh – 201003	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Sh. Vinod Kumar, Registrar
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	20.11.2025
Date of Pronouncement	15.12.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **HR Institute of Education Training and Research, Plot No. 8th Km Ston, Delhi-Meerut Road, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh - 201003** dated 29.09.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **F. No. NCTE / NRC / 2627202505073836 / UTTAR PRADESH / 2025 / REJC / 1821** dated 22.08.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "As per the Public Notice F. No. NCTE/-Regl012/1/2025-Reg Section - HQ dated 6/05/2025 and 27/05/2025 issued by NCTE (Hqrs), the institutions which obtained the minimum 10 points will be shortlisted for processing on the basis of extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. The detail of points obtained by this institution is tabulated as under:-

Criteria	Obtained Points
Institutes of Eminence or. (IoE)	No 0
Institutions of National Importance or (IoNI)	No 0
Category I Institutions as per UGC or. Central/State Government/Private	Yes But no proof is uploaded 0
Universities! Deemed Universities!	0
Institutions graded with NAAC. ** (NAAC grading should have been valid for some period of time in the last 2 years from the date of issue of public notice inviting applications by NCTE. provided applications have been tiled by the institution for fresh accreditation	No 0
NIRF Ranking	No 0
Multidisciplinary Institution (Whether Programme(s) other than Teacher Education Programme(s) is being offered by the institution)	Yes (No proof is uploaded) 0
Institution running NCTE recognized course(s)	No 0
Total.	No 0

The committee noted that the institution is obtaining only 00 points whereas a minimum of 10 points is required to be obtained for short listing of application of ITEP for processing as per Public Notice No. Regl011/3/2025-Reg.Sec-HQ dated 06.05.2025."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Sh. Vinod Kumar, Registrar of HR Institute of Education Training and Research, Plot No. 8th Km Ston, Delhi-Meerut Road, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh - 201003 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 20.11.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "1. HRIT

University Established In July 2024 (HR Institute of Education Training and Research). 2. HRIT university run multi-disciplinary courses (B.A., M.A., B.Sc., M.Sc. etc.). 3. As per UGC Norms HRIT University eligible for NAAC / NRIF after three years.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held online on 20th November, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Northern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 22.05.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the NRC vide order dated 22.08.2025.

The instant matter placed in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held on 20.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee examined the documents submitted along with the appeal, and further noted the following material deficiency:

- (i) The institution did not fulfil the minimum 10-point threshold under the shortlisting criteria, as approved by the Council in its 60th General Body Meeting, which is a mandatory requirement for processing applications under the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) for the academic session 2025-2026. The same was duly notified by the Council vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024.

Hence, the Appeal Committee after perusing the documents which were made available on records is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above grounds. The Appeal Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 22.08.2025 issued by NRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 22.08.2025 issued by NRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 22.08.2025 issued by NRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, HR Institute of Education Training and Research, Plot No. 8th Km Ston, Delhi-Meerut Road, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh - 201003.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 15.12.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-437/E- 384643/2025 Appeal/14th Meeting, 2025

APPLSRC202515388

SJMVS Arts and Commerce College for Women, Survey No. 2861/1/2, Layachamaraj Nagar, Hubballi, Dharwad, Karnataka – 580020	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Cecilia D'Cruz, Prinicipal
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	20.11.2025
Date of Pronouncement	15.12.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **SJMVS Arts and Commerce College for Women, Survey No. 2861/1/2, Layachamaraj Nagar, Hubballi, Dharwad, Karnataka - 580020** dated 24.09.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **F. No. NCTE/SRC/2627202505194804/KARNATAKA/2025/REJC/1950** dated 31.07.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted the processing fee of Rs. 1.77 lacs as prescribed under Rule 9 of NCTE Rules, 1997."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Cecilia D'Cruz, Principal of SJMVS Arts and Commerce College for Women, Survey No. 2861/1/2, Layachamaraj Nagar, Hubballi, Dharwad, Karnataka – 580020 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 20.11.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "1. It is submitted that the appellant submitted an online application on 26th May 2025 to the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) of the National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE), seeking recognition under Sections 14/15(1)&17 of the NCTE Act for SJMVS Arts and Commerce College for Women, located in Hubballi, Dharwad District, Karnataka-580020, for the 4 Year Integrated Teacher Education Program (ITEP) leading to B.A. B.Ed. B.Com. B.Ed. for the academic year 2026-27. 2. It is submitted that the Regional Director of the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE, through an order dated 31st July 2025, has denied the grant of recognition to the appellant on the grounds that the appellant institution failed to pay the prescribed processing fee of Rs. 1.77 lakhs as per Rule 9 of the NCTE Rules, 1997. Being aggrieved by the said refusal order, the present appeal has been filed. 3. It is submitted that the appellant institution, on 26th May 2025, applied for the grant of recognition to SJMVS Arts and Commerce College for Women, Jayachamaraja Nagar, Hubballi, Dharwad District, Karnataka-580020, for 4 Year Integrated Teacher Education Program (ITEP) leading to B.A. B.Ed/ B.Com. B.Ed. During the submission of the online application, the appellant inadvertently mentioned the type of institution as "Government Aided College" in the base criteria column. In reality, the appellant institution is classified under the category of "Institution Graded with NAAC."

Consequently, the appellant institution did not pay the prescribed processing fee of Rs. 1.77 lakhs under Rule 9 of the NCTE Rules, 1997. 4. It is submitted that, after submission of the online application, the respondent issued a first Show Cause Notice to the appellant on 3rd July 2025, after a preliminary scrutiny, via email. In the notice, the respondent highlighted 12 deficiencies and directed the appellant institution to address them within 15 days from the date of the email. The appellant institution subsequently submitted its reply to the first Show Cause Notice dated 3rd July 2025. However, the appellant institution did not provide proof that the proposed course would be funded or aided by the Government. 5. It is respectfully submitted that the appellant institution, due to an inadvertent oversight, mentioned itself as a "Government Aided College" instead of "Institution Graded with NAAC." The said error is purely clerical in nature and neither deliberate nor intentional. It was only after the passing of the impugned refusal order that the appellant institution realized this mistake. The appellant is ready and willing to deposit the prescribed fee of Rs. 1.77 lakhs in accordance with Rule 9 of the Rules, 1997."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held online on 20th November, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 26.05.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the SRC vide order dated 31.07.2025.

The instant matter placed in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held on 20.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution and accordingly the Appeal Committee observed that the SRC rejected the application of the Appellant Institution for grant of recognition for conducting the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) on the following grounds that the institution has not submitted the processing fee of Rs. 1.77 lacs as prescribed under Rule 9 of NCTE Rules, 1997.

The Appeal Committee observed that the Appellant Institution had duly submitted its online application for grant of recognition for the ITEP course. The Appeal Committee further noted that although the processing fee forms an essential part of the application process as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the appellant Institution, during the course of the hearing, expressed willingness to comply with the financial requirement forthwith.

Keeping in view the principle of *audi alteram partem* (Right to be heard) and considering that the deficiency relates primarily to a curable financial compliance rather than an academic or infrastructural infirmity, the Appeal Committee is of the considered opinion that the Appellant Institution deserves an opportunity to rectify the deficiency and the Appellant Institution shall be granted one final opportunity to remit the prescribed processing fee of Rs. 1,50,000/- plus applicable GST within a period of 15 days from the date of issuance of this order.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC, NCTE with a direction to reconsider the case of the institution and take decision accordingly as per provisions of the NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case after setting aside the order dt. 31.07.2025 to SRC, NCTE with a direction to reconsider the case of the institution and take decision accordingly as per provisions of the NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable. The Appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)
Appeal ID: APPLSRC202515388

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, SJMVS Arts and Commerce College for Women, Survey No. 2861/1/2, Layachamaraj Nagar, Hubballi, Dharwad, Karnataka - 580020.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M.S.Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 15.12.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-463/E- 387993/2025 Appeal/14th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515416 / E-88895

AEC Training College and Centre, Army Educational Corps Training College and Centre, Survey No. - Defence land, Pachmarhi main road, Pipariya, Hoshangabad, Madhya Pradesh – 461881	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Lt Col Renu Yadav, Registrar
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	20.11.2025
Date of Pronouncement	15.12.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OFS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **AEC Training College and Centre, Army Educational Corps Training College and Centre, Survey No. - Defence land, Pachmarhi main road, Pipariya, Hoshangabad, Madhya Pradesh - 461881** dated 24.10.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **File No. NCTE/2025/WRC/PAR/ORDER/OAPW0149/213025/6120-25** dated 31.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Lt Col Renu Yadav, Registrar of AEC Training College and Centre, Army Educational Corps Training College and Centre, Survey No. - Defence land, Pachmarhi main road, Pipariya, Hoshangabad, Madhya Pradesh - 461881 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 20.11.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "As this institute is a defence establishment, the instructors and the other associated staff are all defence personnel barring few clerical staff. Thus the information sought in performance appraisal report which includes the personal details of the instructors couldn't be shared/disclosed as they are classified and sensitive in nature as per existing security protocols and defence regulations. It is in this context and not due to any non-compliance that the PAR could not be submitted in the prescribed format. Nevertheless, this establishment has always remained committed to complying with all statutory requirements to the extent permissible under the armed forces guidelines. Wherever possible non-classified information has been shared with regulatory bodies to maintain transparency."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held online on 20th November, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents

available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee, in its 14th Meeting held online on 20.11.2025, considered the appeal in detail and undertook a comprehensive examination of the Appeal Report, the impugned withdrawal order dated 31.05.2025 issued by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), the documents placed on record, and the oral submissions of the appellant institution. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 04.10.2016, and that the WRC subsequently withdrew this recognition on 31.05.2025 on the ground of non-submission of the mandatory Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Although the deadline for submission of PARs, initially fixed as 10.11.2024, was extended up to 31.12.2024 through successive Public Notices, the appellant institution failed to comply. The Committee further observed that the appeal dated 13.08.2025 suffers from a delay of 2 months and 23 days beyond the statutory period prescribed under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and that the institution has offered no cogent explanation for such delay.

The Committee thereafter took note of the binding decision of the General Body of NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, which is reproduced below verbatim:

“3. DECISION OF THE COUNCIL

3.1 In modification of the scope of the decision taken in the 67th General Body Meeting and to ensure procedural fairness, the General Body, after detailed deliberation, unanimously RESOLVED as follows:

(A) Approval of Final Opportunity:

The Council approves the reopening of the online PAR portal for a final, one-time opportunity for all TEIs to submit PAR for the Academic Sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23.

(B) Scope of Submission:

The opportunity is structured as follows:

- ***TEIs Recognized up to Academic Session 2021-22: Must submit PAR for both 2021-22 and 2022-23.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in Academic Session 2022-23: Must submit PAR for 2022-23 only.***
- ***TEIs Recognized in/after 2023-24: Are not required to submit PAR for this specific cycle.***

(C) Stipulation of Consequence:

The Council reiterates that the submission of PAR is mandatory for the continuation of recognition. It is also categorically clarified that any TEI that fails to submit the PAR within this final stipulated window shall be liable for appropriate action being instituted against it in terms of Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for withdrawal of its recognition.

(D) Mechanism for Withdrawn TEIs:

Access to the PAR portal shall remain restricted to TEIs whose recognition status is 'Active' or 'under judicial stay'.

- ***The Council notes that the status of 'Withdrawn' recognition, once finalized, creates a jurisdictional bar (functus officio) that prevents the Regional Committee from unilaterally reopening the matter.***
- ***For TEIs whose recognition currently stands 'Withdrawn' on account of non-submission of PAR till 31.12.2024 and who have not approached any court; the onus is on the institution to demonstrate its operational intent. To avail of this final opportunity, such TEIs must first obtain an order of restoration or stay from a competent legal authority (i.e., the Hon'ble High Court or the NCTE Appellate Committee u/s 18) before the closing date of the portal window."***

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

"Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand

is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

The Appeal Committee, noting the above directives and the binding resolution of the General Body, finds that although the appellant institution was indeed deficient for failing to submit the PARs within the prescribed timelines, it nevertheless falls within the category of institutions permitted to avail the final, one-time opportunity for PAR submission, subject strictly to fulfilment of the conditions stipulated by the General Body. Accordingly, the appeal warrants disposal by way of remand, solely to give effect to the Council's binding decision and to ensure procedural fairness.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, and guided by the binding resolution of the General Body of the NCTE adopted in its 68th (Emergent) Meeting held on 25.11.2025, the Appeal Committee hereby remands the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a specific direction that the appellant institution shall be permitted to apply afresh and re-submit a duly completed PAR upon reopening of the PAR Portal in accordance with the General Body's mandate. The Appeal Committee further directs that the WRC shall assume complete and undiluted responsibility for ensuring strict compliance with the NCTE Act, 1993; the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014; the General Body's decision dated 25.11.2025; all applicable Standard Operating Procedures; and relevant Public Notices. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the WRC, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, all documents submitted before

the Appeal Committee, whereupon the WRC shall proceed strictly in accordance with law and in conformity with the directions set out herein.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 31.05.2025 and remand back the case to Western Regional Committee for fresh consideration strictly in accordance with the directions contained hereinabove, the applicable law, and the binding decision of the General Body of the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, AEC Training College and Centre, Army Educational Corps Training College and Centre, Survey No. - Defence land, Pachmarhi main road, Pipariya, Hoshangabad, Madhya Pradesh - 461881.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 2nd floor, Annex-3, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462004.



एनसीटीई अपीलुीय प्रलधलकरण डें/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शलक्षा परलषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दलल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दलनांक/ Date - 15.12.2025

एनसीटीई अधलनलड की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-449/E- 384748/2025 Appeal/14th Meeting, 2025
APPLWRC202515393/E-88895

Veer Teja Mahila Mahavidyalaya Tejasthali, Khasra No. 1334, Khajwana Road, Marwar Mundwa, Nagapur, Rajasthan – 341026	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Sh. Jawari Lal Sharma, Director (Academic)
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	20.11.2025
Date of Pronouncement	15.12.2025

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Veer Teja Mahila Mahavidyalaya Tejasthali, Khasra No. 1334, Khajwana Road, Marwar Mundwa, Nagapur, Rajasthan - 341026** dated 20.09.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2627202505204843/RAJASTHAN/2025/REJC/1966** dated 07.08.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "(i) The institution has not uploaded document of Category I issued by Govt. Competent Authority. The institution has not claimed NIRF Ranking. (ii) The institution has not uploaded the recommendation of the State Govt. / Affiliating body. (iii) The institution has not uploaded Not-for-Profit Certificate issued by Competent Authority of State Government. (iv) The institution has not uploaded Society/Trust Members details issued by the Competent Government Authority. (v) The institution has not uploaded initial and latest affiliation orders issued by the Affiliating University for all multi-disciplinary programmes. (vi) The name of the applicant institution, namely VEER TEJA MAHILA MAHAVIDHYALAYA which does not match with the name of institution mentioned in NCTE recognition order for B.Ed. course. The institution has not uploaded NCTE revised recognition order for B.Ed. course in favour of name of applicant institution issued by WRC, NCTE. (vii) The institution has not uploaded details of students admitted for all running programmes along with supporting documents. (viii) The institution has uploaded Land Allotment letter dt. 07.04.1997 issued by Revenue Secretary, Government of Rajasthan to District Collector, Nagaur in respect of khasra no. 1334 area 375.08 Bigha, 1339 area 194.19, 1342 area 90.04 Bigha. However, the institution has not uploaded the Certified copy of legally valid land documents. The institution has not uploaded certified land documents clearly mentioning all the Khasra Nos. issued by the Competent Government Authority and the building is situated on a single plot. (ix) The institution has uploaded Order Regarding Land Document in respect of khasra no. 1334 area 375.08 Bigha for Educational Propose vide letter dt. 23.04.1997 issued by Jila Collector Nagaur. The institution has not uploaded the Mutation Certificate/Jamabandi issued by the Competent Authority of State Government. The institution has not uploaded Mutation Certificate issued by Competent Authority of State Government.

(x) The institution has uploaded Land Allotment letter dt. 07.04.1997 in respect of khasra no. 1334 area 375.08 Bigha, 1339 area 194.19, 1342 area 90.04 Bigha issued by Rajasthan Sarkar. The institution has not uploaded the Change of Land Use Certificate (CLU) issued by the Competent Authority of State Government. The institution has not uploaded Land Use Certificate (CLU) mentioning all Khasra Nos. issued by Competent Government Authority. (xi) The institution has uploaded Land Allotment letter dt. 07.04.1997 in respect of khasra no. 1334 area 375.08 Bigha, 1339 area 194.19, 1342 area 90.04 Bigha issued by Rajasthan Sarkar. The institution has not uploaded Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Competent Authority of State Government. The institution has not uploaded latest Non- Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) issued by Competent Authority of State Government. (xii) The institution has uploaded Building Plan Total Built-up Area 52518.40 Sq Ft. Total Built-up Area 4879.07 Sq. mt. approved by Sahayak Engineer Sa. Ni. Vi., Upkhand Pratham Nagaur. The institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government indicating the Khasra/Plot/Survey No. and mentioning the total land area and total built-up area earmarked for each course being run in the premises and the demarcated land area and built-up area for the teacher education programmes including multi-disciplinary programmes. (xiii) The institution has not uploaded Building Safety Certificate in adherence of safety guidelines as prescribed by National/State Disaster Management Authority issued by Competent Government Authority. (xiv) The institution has not uploaded Fire Safety Certificate issued by Fire Safety Department, Government of Rajasthan verifiable on the official portal of the Fire Department, Government of Rajasthan at URL https://lsgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track_application.aspx. (xv) The institution has not uploaded latest Building Completion Certificate (BCC) in the prescribed format of NCTE (17 points) issued by the Competent Government Authority for all the courses being conducted in the premises. (xvi) The website of institution has NOT been updated and maintained in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. (xvii) The institution has not uploaded geotag photos with different angles of Lift, Ramp, Electricity, Safe Drinking Water and Accessible Toilet indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph. (xviii) The institution has not uploaded geotag photos with different angles of front view, rear view, multipurpose hall, library, lab 1, lab 2, lab 3 and playground indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Sh. Jawari Lal Sharma, Director (Academic) of Veer Teja Mahila Mahavidyalaya Tejasthali, Khasra No. 1334, Khajwana Road, Marwar Mundwa, Nagapur, Rajasthan - 341026 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 20.11.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1. NOC Issued by commissioner higher education Rajasthan. Initial & latest affiliations issued by M.D.S.U. University Ajmer (Raj.) Revised Recognition for B.Ed. program issued by NCTE New Delhi. 2. Legally land valid documents building situated on a single plot certificate copy of Jamabandi, land mutation certificate change of land use certificate Building Plan approval certificate Building Safety certificate Building Completion Certificate Land Title Transfer Certificate. 3. With different angles of electricity, safe drinking water & clean toilets with different angles of front view, rear view, multipurpose hall, library, labs stadium, Library & Play grounds. 4. Details of BoM, Member, Not-For-Profit Certificate, Apology being error in institutes name strength details of all multi-disciplinary programmes running to institution. Latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate, fire extinguisher certificate, website updation certificate.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held online on 20th November, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 27.05.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the SRC vide order dated 07.08.2025.

The instant matter placed in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held on 20.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before the Appellate Authority on 20.11.2025. The Appeal Committee, after considering the appeal, the impugned order of the Regional Committee, the appeal report, the documents placed on record and the oral submissions of the appellant institution, observed that recognition had been refused primarily on account of non-

compliance with the eligibility requirements stipulated under the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended).

The Committee also referred to the **“Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,”** dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI, then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:

- (i) The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.***
- (ii) A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.***

The collaboration will be subject to the following:

- (a) Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.***
- (b) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.***
- (c) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.***
- (d) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.***
- (e) One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A. B.Ed., B.Sc. B.Ed., B.Com. B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.***
- (f) Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities, departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)***

- (g) ***NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.***

The Committee further noted the deliberations and resolution adopted by the General Body of NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28th July 2025, which is reproduced below in extenso:

"Decision of the Council:

- i. ***In view of the above, the Council discussed and deliberated the agenda in detail and approved the option III proposed by the Committee as under:***

The final opportunity be provided to all such TEIs including those institutions of which applications were refused/rejected by giving an opportunity to apply afresh online on NCTE Portal. Those institutions which have earlier submitted Transition applications in response to NCTE Public Notice dated 05.02.2024, may be exempted from making payment of processing fee, subject to specifying/mentioning the Registration number of the earlier application submitted.

- ii. ***The portal be opened as above and a Public Notice be issued with direction to all recognised existing TEIs offering B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course (prior to omission of the Appendix-13) to apply afresh except the institutions which have either been already transited into ITEP or issued Letter of Intent (LOI) by the Regional Committee concerned.***
- iii. ***The council also decided that the Guidelines for transforming NCTE recognised stand-alone Teacher Education Institution into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institution issued by NCTE be enclosed with the Public Notice for information to all concerned.***

The Appeal Committee, upon detailed consideration of the Appeal Report, documents placed on record, and oral submissions advanced during the hearing, observed that the deficiencies recorded in the impugned order of the Regional Committee broadly relate to non-fulfilment of infrastructural and statutory requirements prescribed under the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

The Committee noted that subsequent to the issuance of the impugned order dated 24.06.2025, the General Body of the NCTE, in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held

on 28.07.2025, had taken a policy decision providing a final opportunity to all Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs), including those whose applications were earlier refused or rejected, to apply afresh online on the NCTE Portal, in light of the implementation framework for multidisciplinary institutions (MDIs) and the transition to the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP).

The Committee further noted that, in compliance with the above General Body resolution, the NCTE Portal was re-opened for submission of fresh applications, and a Public Notice was issued inviting all eligible institutions to apply afresh within the specified timeline. The said Public Notice prescribed a cut-off date of 5th October 2025 for submission of such fresh applications.

The Committee observed that, as per the said General Body resolution, all previously rejected or refused institutions were afforded an equal opportunity to reapply online within the stipulated time, subject to fulfilment of eligibility norms and without prejudice to earlier decisions. The appellant institution, therefore, was also covered under the said one-time policy relaxation and was expected to avail this opportunity by submitting a fresh online application before the cut-off date of 5th October 2025.

The Committee noted that the decision of the General Body has overriding policy effect and applies uniformly to all similarly situated institutions whose recognition was refused prior to the opening of the portal. Accordingly, the earlier appeals challenging individual refusal orders lose their operative significance once a uniform opportunity to apply afresh is extended under the said resolution.

The Appeal Committee is also mindful of the settled legal principle that when a fresh statutory mechanism is provided affording complete remedy to an affected party, any pending appeal against the earlier administrative order becomes infructuous, as the cause of action stands subsumed in the subsequent policy framework.

In view of the above, and considering that (a) the General Body of NCTE, in its 67th Meeting held on 28.07.2025, has permitted all previously refused/rejected TEIs to apply afresh through the NCTE online portal (b) the portal was reopened for such

applications with a cut-off date of 05.10.2025, and (c) The appellant institution falls within the category of institutions covered under the said resolution and has been provided the same opportunity to reapply, the Appeal Committee holds that the present appeal has become infructuous in view of the fresh opportunity made available under the General Body's policy decision.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee decided to dispose of the appeal as infructuous, in light of the General Body resolution dated 28.07.2025 and the subsequent reopening of the portal for fresh applications up to 05.10.2025.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to dispose of the appeal as infructuous, in light of the General Body resolution dated 28.07.2025 and the subsequent reopening of the portal for fresh applications up to 05.10.2025.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Veer Teja Mahila Mahavidyalaya Tejasthali, Khasra No. 1334, Khajwana Road, Marwar Mundwa, Nagapur, Rajasthan - 341026.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.**



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 15.12.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-458/E- 387066/2025 Appeal/14th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515403 / E-88895

Degloor College, Gata no. 812, 815, Udgir Road, Degloor, Nanded, Maharashtra – 431717	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Mohan Namdev Khatal, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	20.11.2025
Date of Pronouncement	15.12.2025

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Degloor College, Gata no. 812, 815, Udgir Road, Degloor, Nanded, Maharashtra - 431717** dated 09.10.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2526202405092706/MAHARASHTRA/2024/REJC/1009** dated 12.09.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "1. The institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government mentioning the name of institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey No. and mentioning the total land area and total built-up area earmarked for each course being run in the premises and the demarcated land area and built-up area for the teacher education programmes including multi-disciplinary programmes. 2. University has not uploaded details of admitted students for all running courses. 3. The institution has not uploaded Mutation Certificate mentioning Khasra/Plot/Survey No. issued by Competent Authority of State Government. 4. The institution has uploaded document for CLU which is incomplete and not legible. The institution has not uploaded legible Land Use Certificate (CLU) for educational purpose mentioning all Khasra/Plot/Survey No. issued by Competent Government Authority. 5. The institution has not uploaded latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) issued by Competent Authority of State Government indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances issued by Competent Government Authority. 6. The institution has not uploaded latest Building Completion Certificate (BCC) in the prescribed format of NCTE (17 points) issued by the Competent Government Authority for all the courses being conducted in the premises. 7. The institution has not uploaded geotag photos with different angles of Lift, Ramp, Electricity Connection, Safe Drinking Water and Accessible Toilet indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph. 8. The institution has not uploaded geotag photos with different angles of front view, rear view, multipurpose hall, library, lab 1, lab 2, lab 3 and playground indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Mohan Namdev Khatal, Principal of Degloor College, Gata no. 812, 815, Udgir Road, Degloor, Nanded, Maharashtra - 431717 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 20.11.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "1. The institution has uploaded building plan approved by the Deputy Engineer, ZP (Zilla Parishad) Sub Division Office, Degloor who is competent authority of the Maharashtra State Government. The plan uploaded clearly shows the Gut. No. In Maharashtra the terms (Nomenclature) Khasra is not used. Hence the terms like Plot No./Survey No./Gut No. are in use and practiced by the Maharashtra Government and people. The terms like Plot No./Survey No./Gut No. are found on all government record which is authentic. However, the term Gut No. is used to indicate any land allocated in development plan. All the records related to land owned by Adat Vyapari Education Society indicate Gut. No. which is 812 & 815. In our building plan which already uploaded to your office shows and mention total land area and total built-up area earmarked for each existing courses of UG & PG being run in the premises and the demarcated land area and built-up area for the teacher education programmes (ITEP) including multi-disciplinary programmes. 2. In earlier notices the point regarding uploading details of admitted students for all running courses was not mentioned. However herewith we are uploading the details of admitted students for all running courses with duly signed by Head of the institution. 3. The institution has already uploaded Mutation Certificate mentioning Gut No. issued by Competent Authority (Revenue Officer, Tahsil Office, Degloor) of Maharashtra State Government. However, the term Gut No. is used to indicate any land records in our region in Maharashtra. All the records related to land owned by Adat Vyapari Education Society indicate Gut. No. which is 812 & 815 which is also mentioned in Mutation Certificate. 4. The Institution has already submitted document regarding legible land use certificate (CLU) for educational purposes mentioning Gut number issued by Competent Government authority i.e. Revenue Officer, Tahsil Office, Degloor. In Maharashtra the terms like survey number and Gut number are used instead of khasra. In the map of Town Development Plan the land covered under Pink Category is allocated to Public & Semi-Public Sector like Schools and Colleges for educational purposes. We are attaching the map of Degloor Town Development Plan herewith which is clearly indicating our College Name Degloor College & Gut No. 812 & 815 in Pink Category.

5. The Institution has already uploaded the Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances. The certificate issued is latest i.e. April 2025. The document is duly signed by competent state Government Authority (Revenue Officer, Tahsil Office, Degloor). 6. The Institution has already submitted the latest Building Completion Certificate (BCC) in the prescribed format of NCTE (17 points). However, we are again submitting the same document herewith which is duly signed by the Deputy Engineer, ZP (Zilla Parishad) Sub Division Office, Degloor who is competent authority of the Maharashtra State Government, mentioning all the UG & PG courses being conducted in the premises. The document also mentions total land area and total built-up area earmarked for existing courses of UG & PG being run in the premises and the demarcated land area and built-up area for the teacher education programmes (ITEP). The document is duly signed by the following dignitaries namely i) President of the Adat Vyapari Education Society, Degloor ii) Principal Degloor college, Degloor iii) Architect. 7. In earlier notices the point regarding uploading geotag photos was not mentioned. However, we are herewith uploading the required photographs with different angles of Ramp, Electricity Connection, Safe Drinking Water Storage facility, Solar, Separate Electricity DP, Generator and Accessible Toilet indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph in geotags format. The classrooms and other facilities for divyang students are arranged on Ground floor. As the building is G+1 type, there is no need of lift. 8. In earlier notices the point regarding uploading geotag photos was not mentioned. However, we are herewith uploading the required photographs with different angles of front view, rear view, multipurpose hall, library, lab 1, lab 2, lab 3 and playground indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held online on 20th November, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 20.05.2024. The recognition of the

institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 12.09.2025.

The instant matter placed in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held on 20.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. The appellant institution, in its appeal and during the hearing, contended that the deficiencies have been duly rectified and that the documents now furnished establish compliance with the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations, therefore, its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee upon perusal of the records and after hearing the oral submissions of the appellant, noted that the institution has placed on record a compliance report along with various supporting documents in purported fulfillment of the deficiencies cited in the impugned refusal order.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 - Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 12.09.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a **direction to verify the documents submitted in Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the WRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations.** The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the WRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the WRC to take

further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to WRC with a direction to verify the authenticity of the documents submitted before Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the WRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the WRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the WRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Degloor College, Gata no. 812, 815, Udgir Road, Degloor, Nanded, Maharashtra - 431717.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphiston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 15.12.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-447/E- 386091/2025 Appeal/14th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515402/E-88895

Jagan Nath University, Khata No. 28, 63, 64, Nh-12, Chaksu by pass, Tonk Road, Jaipur – 303901	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	20.11.2025
Date of Pronouncement	15.12.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Jagan Nath University, Khata No. 28, 63, 64, Nh-12, Chaksu by pass, Tonk Road, Jaipur - 303901** dated 11.10.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2627202505225065/RAJASTHAN/2025/REJC/645** dated 23.08.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "(i) The institution has not uploaded NIRF Ranking Certificate. (ii) The institution has not uploaded Notfor-Profit Certificate issued by Competent Authority of State Government. (iii) The institution has not uploaded initial and latest affiliation orders issued by the Affiliating University for all multi-disciplinary programmes. (iv) The institution has not uploaded complete recognition order for B.Ed. course issued by NCTE. (v) The institution has not uploaded the details of admitted students for all running programmes along with supporting documents. (vi) The institution has not uploaded certified copy of registered land documents clearly mentioning all Khasra Nos. issued by the Competent Authority (Revenue Department) of State Government together with affidavit on Rs.100/- non-judicial stamp paper mentioning details of land of built-up area of the institution. (vii) The institution has not uploaded latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) issued by Competent Authority of State Government. (viii) The institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government mentioning the name of institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey No. and mentioning the total land area and total built-up area earmarked for each course being run in the premises and the demarcated land area and built-up area for the teacher education programmes including multi-disciplinary programmes. (ix) The institution has not uploaded Building Safety Certificate in adherence of safety guidelines as prescribed by National/State Disaster Management Authority issued by Competent Government Authority. (x) The institution has not uploaded Fire Safety Certificate issued by Fire Safety Department, Government of Rajasthan verifiable on the official portal of the Fire Department, Government of Rajasthan at URL https://lsgonline.rajasthan.gov.in/track_application.aspx. (xi) The institution has not uploaded latest Building Completion Certificate (BCC) in the prescribed format of NCTE (17 points) issued by the Competent Government Authority for all the courses

being conducted in the premises. (xii) The institution has not uploaded geotag photos with different angles of Lift, Ramp, Electricity, Safe Drinking Water and Accessible Toilet indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph. (xiii) The institution has uploaded photograph of front view, rear view, multipurpose hall, library, lab 1 and playground, however, it has not uploaded geotag photos clearly indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph. (xiv) The website of university has not been updated and maintained in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. (xv) The institution has not uploaded Affidavit on Rs.100/- non-judicial stamp paper issued by Competent Authority of the university for authorization of Registrar.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from Jagan Nath University, Khata No. 28, 63, 64, Nh-12, Chaksu by pass, Tonk Road, Jaipur – 303901 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 20.11.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1. Not Applicable. Since the Institution was not granted any rank in the NIRF ranking, therefore, no NIRF ranking certificate was issued. Moreover, on the portal when we loaded ‘NO’ in this column, obviously there was no requirement of uploading NIRF ranking certificate. (Screenshot 1). 2. Already uploaded 12AA form along with a certificate from Registrar was already uploaded on the portal. (Screenshot 2) However, the documents are again enclosed for your ready reference (Appendix A). 3. Not Applicable Not applicable in case of the appellant, since Dept. of Education is the constituent part and parcel of the University. The course is run on the University campus which is also running multi-disciplinary programmes. The Department of Education is already running B.Ed. 2 Years programme with the approval of the NCTE on the University Campus. (Screenshot 3). 4. Already uploaded Complete Recognition Order is already Uploaded on the portal. (Screenshot 4) However, the documents are again enclosed for your ready reference. (Appendix B). 5. Not Applicable the University filled the students intake with course affiliation order instead of admitted students as per the available portal Performa. No information was asked for the admitted students in the portal. No particular format or document was provided or asked on the portal for the admitted students. (Screenshot 5) However, the details of admitted students for all running programmes are enclosed. (Appendix C). 6. Already uploaded The required

documents of registered land have been uploaded. (Screenshot 6) There was no requirement on the portal for uploading such an Affidavit. However, the affidavit as required now by NCTE is enclosed. (Appendix D). 7. The requisite document was already uploaded on the portal. However, we are again enclosing NEC for your ready reference. (Appendix E). 8. Already uploaded The building plan issued by the competent authority has already been duly uploaded. (Screenshot 8). However, the documents are again enclosed for ready reference. (Appendix F). 9. Already uploaded The University has already uploaded the building safety certificate. (Screenshot 9) However, the documents are again enclosed for ready reference. (Appendix G). 10. Already uploaded The University has already uploaded the document. (Screenshot 10). 11. Already uploaded The University has already uploaded the building completion Certificate. (Screenshot 11). 12. There was no requirement of uploading Geotag Photos on the portal. The photos were duly uploaded as per the requirement of the portal (Screenshot 12). However, Geotag photos are enclosed for your ready reference. (Appendix H). 13. There was no requirement of uploading Geotag Photos on the portal. The photos were duly uploaded as per the requirement of the portal (Screenshot 13) However, Geotag photos are enclosed for your ready reference. (Appendix I). 14. The website has already been updated as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3). No specific deficiency has been made out in the refusal order. 15. Already uploaded The Institution has already uploaded the required affidavit on Rs. 100/- non-judicial stamp paper. (Screenshot 15) However, a copy of the affidavit is again enclosed for ready reference. (Appendix J)."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held online on 20th November, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 26.05.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 23.08.2025.

The instant matter placed in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held on 20.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before the Appellate Authority on 20.11.2025. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee, after considering the appeal, the impugned order of the Regional Committee, the appeal report, the documents placed on record and the oral submissions of the appellant institution, observed that recognition had been refused primarily on account of non-compliance with the eligibility requirements stipulated under the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended).

The Committee also referred to the **“Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,”** dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI, then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:

- (i) The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.***
- (ii) A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.***

The collaboration will be subject to the following:

- (a) Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.***
- (b) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.***
- (c) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.***

- (d) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.*
- (e) *One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A. B.Ed., B.Sc. B.Ed., B.Com. B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.*
- (f) *Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities, departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)*
- (g) *NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.*

The Committee further noted the deliberations and resolution adopted by the General Body of NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28th July 2025, which is reproduced below in extenso:

“Decision of the Council:

- i. *In view of the above, the Council discussed and deliberated the agenda in detail and approved the option III proposed by the Committee as under:*

The final opportunity be provided to all such TEIs including those institutions of which applications were refused/rejected by giving an opportunity to apply afresh online on NCTE Portal. Those institutions which have earlier submitted Transition applications in response to NCTE Public Notice dated 05.02.2024, may be exempted from making payment of processing fee, subject to specifying/mentioning the Registration number of the earlier application submitted.

- ii. *The portal be opened as above and a Public Notice be issued with direction to all recognised existing TEIs offering B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course (prior to omission of the Appendix-13) to apply afresh except the institutions which have either been already transited into ITEP or issued Letter of Intent (LOI) by the Regional Committee concerned.*
- iii. *The council also decided that the Guidelines for transforming NCTE recognised stand-alone Teacher Education Institution into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institution issued by NCTE be enclosed with the Public Notice for information to all concerned.*

The Appeal Committee, upon detailed consideration of the Appeal Report, documents placed on record, and oral submissions advanced during the hearing, observed that the deficiencies recorded in the impugned order of the Regional Committee broadly relate to non-fulfilment of infrastructural and statutory requirements prescribed under the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

The Committee noted that subsequent to the issuance of the impugned order dated 24.06.2025, the General Body of the NCTE, in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28.07.2025, had taken a policy decision providing a final opportunity to all Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs), including those whose applications were earlier refused or rejected, to apply afresh online on the NCTE Portal, in light of the implementation framework for multidisciplinary institutions (MDIs) and the transition to the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP).

The Committee further noted that, in compliance with the above General Body resolution, the NCTE Portal was re-opened for submission of fresh applications, and a Public Notice was issued inviting all eligible institutions to apply afresh within the specified timeline. The said Public Notice prescribed a cut-off date of 5th October 2025 for submission of such fresh applications.

The Committee observed that, as per the said General Body resolution, all previously rejected or refused institutions were afforded an equal opportunity to reapply online within the stipulated time, subject to fulfilment of eligibility norms and without prejudice to earlier decisions. The appellant institution, therefore, was also covered under the said one-time policy relaxation and was expected to avail this opportunity by submitting a fresh online application before the cut-off date of 5th October 2025.

The Committee noted that the decision of the General Body has overriding policy effect and applies uniformly to all similarly situated institutions whose recognition was refused prior to the opening of the portal. Accordingly, the earlier appeals challenging individual refusal orders lose their operative significance once a uniform opportunity to apply afresh is extended under the said resolution.

The Appeal Committee is also mindful of the settled legal principle that when a fresh statutory mechanism is provided affording complete remedy to an affected party, any pending appeal against the earlier administrative order becomes infructuous, as the cause of action stands subsumed in the subsequent policy framework.

In view of the above, and considering that (a) the General Body of NCTE, in its 67th Meeting held on 28.07.2025, has permitted all previously refused/rejected TEIs to apply afresh through the NCTE online portal (b) the portal was reopened for such applications with a cut-off date of 05.10.2025, and (c) The appellant institution falls within the category of institutions covered under the said resolution and has been provided the same opportunity to reapply, the Appeal Committee holds that the present appeal has become infructuous in view of the fresh opportunity made available under the General Body's policy decision.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee decided to dispose of the appeal as infructuous, in light of the General Body resolution dated 28.07.2025 and the subsequent reopening of the portal for fresh applications up to 05.10.2025.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to dispose of the appeal as infructuous, in light of the General Body resolution dated 28.07.2025 and the subsequent reopening of the portal for fresh applications up to 05.10.2025.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)
Appeal Id: APPLWRC 2025 15402

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Jagan Nath University, Khata No. 28, 63, 64, Nh-12, Chaksu by pass, Tonk Road, Jaipur - 303901.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 15.12.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-454/E- 386555/2025 Appeal/14th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515167

Vikas Teacher Training College, Khasra No. Pura Ki Dhani, Jaipur Bikaner Road, Sikar, Rajasthan – 332001	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Ravi Bijarnia, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	20.11.2025
Date of Pronouncement	15.12.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Vikas Teacher Training College, Khasra No. Pura Ki Dhani, Jaipur Bikaner Road, Sikar, Rajasthan - 332001** dated 23.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2526202402211552/RAJASTHAN/2024REJC/552** dated 24.04.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "1. The name of the institution "Vikas Teacher Training College" mentioned in the application and recognition order of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. whereas "Vikas College" mentioned in the university letter with regard to Multidisciplinary Programmes uploaded by the institution. Both the names are different. Therefore, as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time, the application of the institution does not fall in the category of multi-disciplinary institution. 2. The website of institution has not been completed the compliance in light of Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. 3. The institution has not uploaded authorization letter on the stamp paper as per admissible government rate. 4. The institution has not uploaded Not-for-Profit Certificate issued by the competent authority. 5. The institution has not fill up all society members information. 6. The institution has not uploaded essential details of student admitted in B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course (year-wise I, II, III, IV) countersigned by concerned affiliating university. 7. The institution has not uploaded order of the affiliating body for all Teacher Education Programme for the session year 2023-24. 8. The Institution has not uploaded latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by Govt. Competent Authority. 9. The institution has mentioned Latitude Longitude 27.6654799 75.1018116, checked on google map and found Incorrect. 10. The Institution has uploaded building plan khasra no. mentioning khasra no. 803/154 which found mismatch with land document, NEC and Mutation Certificate. 11. The institution has not uploaded Building Safety Certificate, Fire Safety Certificate, Electricity bill and photos of Ramp, Toilet and Drinking Water facility as per norms. 12. The photos are not showing about Ramps, handrail and Lifts towards accessibility for persons with disability as per norms and standards. 13. The Playground and Multipurpose Hall shown in the photographs uploaded do not seem to be having adequate infrastructure and instructional facilities as per norms and standards."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Ravi Bijarnia, Principal of Vikas Teacher Training College, Khasra No. Pura Ki Dhani, Jaipur Bikaner Road, Sikar, Rajasthan - 332001 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 20.11.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "Because the respondent/WRC has committed an error and has erroneously passed the impugned refusal order. As per the information filled on the earlier, in response to SCN, the institution presented its side and submitted the documents related to the operation of Degree College, as "Vikas College" and two-year and four-year teacher training course as "Vikas Teacher Training College" for the institution to be multi-disciplinary. At the time, only one shortcoming of the organization was being displayed on the NCTE portal, the reply SCN to which was given by the organization within the given time and the necessary documents were presented in the form of PDF. No other deficiencies were mentioned by you in the SCN hence the organization had no information regarding other deficiencies. Now in the REFUSAL ORDER the names of the Degree College and Teacher Training College are mentioned separately but these courses are run by the same Mother Society Naveen Vikas Shiksha Samiti and are being run in the same campus. Sir, the institute is running multi-disciplinary courses like Degree College and two year and four year teacher training courses whose parent Society is the same Naveen Vikas Shiksha Samiti and all the courses are being run in the same campus. Hence it is requested to you that since the parent Society is one, the institution should be considered as running multi-disciplinary courses. Now the other deficiencies pointed out by the organization in the REFUSAL ORDER are being rectified and the documents are being sent along with the attached documents."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held online on 20th November, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 04.03.2024. The recognition of the

institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 24.04.2025.

The instant matter placed in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held on 20.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee, after considering the appeal, the impugned order of the Regional Committee, the appeal report, the documents placed on record and the oral submissions of the appellant institution, observed that recognition had been refused primarily on account of non-compliance with the eligibility requirements stipulated under the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended).

The Committee also referred to the “Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,” dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI, then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:

- (i) The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.***
- (ii) A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.***

The collaboration will be subject to the following:

- (a) Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.***
- (b) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.***

- (c) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.*
- (d) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.*
- (e) *One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A. B.Ed., B.Sc. B.Ed., B.Com. B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.*
- (f) *Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities, departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)*
- (g) *NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.*

The Committee further noted the deliberations and resolution adopted by the General Body of NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28th July 2025, which is reproduced below in extenso:

“Decision of the Council:

- i. *In view of the above, the Council discussed and deliberated the agenda in detail and approved the option III proposed by the Committee as under:*

The final opportunity be provided to all such TEIs including those institutions of which applications were refused/rejected by giving an opportunity to apply afresh online on NCTE Portal. Those institutions which have earlier submitted Transition applications in response to NCTE Public Notice dated 05.02.2024, may be exempted from making payment of processing fee, subject to specifying/mentioning the Registration number of the earlier application submitted.

- ii. *The portal be opened as above and a Public Notice be issued with direction to all recognised existing TEIs offering B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course (prior to omission of the Appendix-13) to apply afresh except the institutions which have either been already transited into ITEP or issued Letter of Intent (LOI) by the Regional Committee concerned.*
- iii. *The council also decided that the Guidelines for transforming NCTE recognised stand-alone Teacher Education Institution into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institution issued by NCTE be enclosed with the Public Notice for information to all concerned.*

The Appeal Committee, upon detailed consideration of the Appeal Report, documents placed on record, and oral submissions advanced during the hearing, observed that the deficiencies recorded in the impugned order of the Regional Committee broadly relate to non-fulfilment of infrastructural and statutory requirements prescribed under the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

The Committee noted that subsequent to the issuance of the impugned order dated 24.06.2025, the General Body of the NCTE, in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28.07.2025, had taken a policy decision providing a final opportunity to all Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs), including those whose applications were earlier refused or rejected, to apply afresh online on the NCTE Portal, in light of the implementation framework for multidisciplinary institutions (MDIs) and the transition to the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP).

The Committee further noted that, in compliance with the above General Body resolution, the NCTE Portal was re-opened for submission of fresh applications, and a Public Notice was issued inviting all eligible institutions to apply afresh within the specified timeline. The said Public Notice prescribed a cut-off date of 5th October 2025 for submission of such fresh applications.

The Committee observed that, as per the said General Body resolution, all previously rejected or refused institutions were afforded an equal opportunity to reapply online within the stipulated time, subject to fulfilment of eligibility norms and without prejudice to earlier decisions. The appellant institution, therefore, was also covered under the said one-time policy relaxation and was expected to avail this opportunity by submitting a fresh online application before the cut-off date of 5th October 2025.

The Committee noted that the decision of the General Body has overriding policy effect and applies uniformly to all similarly situated institutions whose recognition was refused prior to the opening of the portal. Accordingly, the earlier appeals challenging individual refusal orders lose their operative significance once a uniform opportunity to apply afresh is extended under the said resolution.

The Appeal Committee is also mindful of the settled legal principle that when a fresh statutory mechanism is provided affording complete remedy to an affected party, any pending appeal against the earlier administrative order becomes infructuous, as the cause of action stands subsumed in the subsequent policy framework.


In view of the above, and considering that (a) the General Body of NCTE, in its 67th Meeting held on 28.07.2025, has permitted all previously refused/rejected TEIs to apply afresh through the NCTE online portal (b) the portal was reopened for such applications with a cut-off date of 05.10.2025, and (c) The appellant institution falls within the category of institutions covered under the said resolution and has been provided the same opportunity to reapply, the Appeal Committee holds that the present appeal has become infructuous in view of the fresh opportunity made available under the General Body's policy decision.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee decided to dispose of the appeal as infructuous, in light of the General Body resolution dated 28.07.2025 and the subsequent reopening of the portal for fresh applications up to 05.10.2025.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to dispose of the appeal as infructuous, in light of the General Body resolution dated 28.07.2025 and the subsequent reopening of the portal for fresh applications up to 05.10.2025.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)
Appeal ID: APPLWRC202515167

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Vikas Teacher Training College, Khasra No. Pura Ki Dhani, Jaipur Bikaner Road, Sikar, Rajasthan - 332001.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 15.12.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-448/E- 386092/2025 Appeal/14th Meeting, 2025

APPLNRC202515384 / E-88895

Khalsa College of Physical Education, 435288310, VPO Heir, Amritsar, Punjab – 143031	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Kanwal Jeet Singh, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	20.11.2025
Date of Pronouncement	15.12.2025

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Khalsa College of Physical Education, Survey No. 435288310, VPO Heir, Amritsar, Punjab - 143031** dated 17.09.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **F.No.NRC/NCTE/PB-576/B.P.Ed./443rd Meeting/SI.No.07/PB/2025/F.No.NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-6586/D.P.Ed./443rd Meeting/SI.No.07/PB/2025/F.No.NRC/NCTE/PB-Khalsa College of Physical Education/443rd Meeting/PB/2025/Computer NO.84391/233574-233580** dated 11.08.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing request for shifting of premises on the grounds that "1. NOC for change of affiliating body from Guru Nanak Dev University to the Maharaj Bhupinder Singh Sports University is not submitted. The institution has not submitted building plan approved by Competent Government Authority. 2. The institution has not submitted a certified copy of the registered land documents where the institution intends to shift its premises. 3. The institution has not submitted a certified copy of Mutation Certificate. 4. The institution has not submitted the Land Use Certificate issued by the Competent Government Authority mentioning the land details i.e. khasra nos./plot nos. and total area of land. The institution has submitted the building plan on A4 se paper instead of Blueprint of building plan showing khasra nos./plot nos., total land area, total built-up area with the measurements of the multipurpose hall as well as other infrastructural facilities such as classrooms etc. 5. The institution has not submitted a certified copy of av site plan with demarcated land area for running different courses. 6. The institution has not submitted a certificate to the effect that the building is differently abled friendly issued by the Competent Authority. 7. The list of teaching faculty submitted by the institution is not approved by the Registrar. 8. The institution submitted a copy of affiliation order of B.P.Ed. course and not for another course."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Dr. Kanwal Jeet Singh, Principal of Khalsa College of Physical Education, Survey No. 435288310, VPO Heir, Amritsar, Punjab - 143031 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 20.11.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "(i) The reply has been submitted at annexure-2, 2-A, 2-B including translation in English and notarized. The college had applied for shifting in 2020 at that time the affiliating body was MBSPSU, Patiala. The Punjab Government notification regarding disaffiliation is attached herewith. (ii) The said documents have been submitted at annexure-3, 3-A with duly certification. (iii) The mutation certificate has been submitted at annexure-4, 4-A. (iv) The required documents has been submitted at annexure-5, 5-A. (v) The reply has been submitted as annexure-6. (vi) The barrier free certificate from the Competent Authority was sent on 17.05.2025 through registered post. The receipt is available with us. However, the college is sending a fresh copy of differently abled friendly certificate duly signed by Competent Authority at annexure-7. (vii) The reply has been submitted at annexure 8: It may be noted that there is no Registrar in the university at the moment after retirement of the first registrar, but the certificate is dully given by office of the registrar. Self-declaration from all teaching staff along with appointment letters are attached herewith. (viii) Affiliation of B.P.Ed. 4 years (Integrated Course) and B.P.Ed. 2 years were sent along with D.P.Ed. course at annexure 9,9-A which are also notarized as required."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE:-

The Appeal Committee in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held online on 20th November, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for Secondary (B.P.Ed.) course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 16.10.2009, followed by the revised recognition order for B.P.Ed. course of two-year duration with an annual intake of 50 students from the academic session 2015-2016.

The instant matter placed in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held on 20.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The appellant institution, in its appeal and during the hearing, contended that the deficiencies have been duly rectified and that the documents now furnished establish compliance with the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations, therefore, its case be considered for shifting of premises for B.P.Ed. programme. The Appeal Committee upon perusal of the records and after hearing the oral submissions of the appellant, the Committee noted that the institution has placed on record a compliance report along with various supporting documents in purported fulfillment of the deficiencies cited in the impugned refusal order.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in the interest of fair adjudication, subsequent documents submitted by the appellant are also required to be duly examined. Reliance was placed on the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016], wherein it was held that additional documents furnished by an appellant must be considered while adjudicating appeals. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee is required to carefully re-examine the matter, verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents submitted, and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC with a direction to carefully re-examine the matter, verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents submitted, and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the NRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 11.08.2025 and remand back the case to NRC with a direction to carefully re-examine the matter, verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents submitted, and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the NRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Khalsa College of Physical Education, Survey No. 435288310, VPO Heir, Amritsar, Punjab - 143031.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Punjab, Vidya Bhawan, Block E, 5th Floor, Phase-VIII, SAS Nagar (Mohali)-Punjab-160062.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 15.12.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-433/E- 383530/2025 Appeal/14th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515389/ E - 88895

Shri Sharada Bhavan Education Society's college of Education, Survey no. 6334, C/o Law College Building, Baba Nagar, Nanded, Maharashtra – 431602	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Anuradha Ramrao Raut, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	20.11.2025
Date of Pronouncement	15.12.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF ORDER

The appeal of **Shri Sharada Bhavan Education Society's college of Education, Survey no. 6334, C/o Law College Building, Baba Nagar, Nanded, Maharashtra - 431602** dated 25.09.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **F. No. WRC/NCTE/CF-87492/APW00555/113092/B.Ed./434/2025/7623** dated 09.09.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution vide letter No. B.ED./2017-18/94 dated 05.10.2017 has requested to reduce its intake for B.Ed. course from existing 2 units (100 Students) to I unit (50 Students) as mentioned in WRC revised recognition order No. WRC/OAPW00555/113092/2015/140287-294 dated 31.05.2015. (ii) Further, the institution vide letter No.B.Ed.2023-24/131 dated 23.08.2023, 24.11.2023, 09.04.2025 and 04.06.2025 has requested for correction of name from Sharda Bhawan Society's College of Education to Shri Sharda Bhawan Education Society's, College of Education, Nanded."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Anuradha Ramrao Raut, Principal of Shri Sharada Bhavan Education Society's college of Education, Survey no. 6334, C/o Law College Building, Baba Nagar, Nanded, Maharashtra - 431602 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 20.11.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "1. The Impugned Order is based on a long pending request made nearly eight years ago and does not reflect the current academic and administrative status of the institution. 2. The institution has already been operating with two B.Ed. units (100 students) for the last 4 academic session, based on the approvals from the statutory authorities. 3. The order has been issued during the on-going admission cycle, and the students have already been allotted for both units by the Directorate of Higher Education (DHE), causing undue disruption. 4. The institution fulfils all infrastructural, academic and regulatory requirements under NCET regulations. 5. The adverse order is therefore unjustified, untimely and would result in serious academic and administrative hardship to both students and the institution."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held online on 20th November, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course with annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 15.09.2004. A Revised Recognition Order was issued to the institution for B.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 100 students (two basic units of 50 students) from the academic session 2015-2016 vide order dated 31.05.2015. The Appellant Institution submitted request for reduction of intake from 2 (100 students) to 1 (50 students) vide letter dated 05.10.2017. The permission was granted for change of name of the Appellant Institution from "Sharda Bhawan Society's College of Education" to "Shri Sharda Bhawan Education Society's, College of Education, Nanded" and reduction of B.Ed. intake from two units to one unit vide order dated 09.09.2025.

The instant matter placed in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held on 20.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the institution submitted a representation requesting for reduction of intake from 2 (100 students) to 1 (50 students) vide letter dated 05.10.2017 and for correction of the name of institution vide letter dated 23.08.2023, with reminder letter dated 24.11.2023 & 09.04.2025 and email dated 04.06.2025 and the permission was granted for change of name of the Appellant Institution from "Sharda Bhawan Society's College of Education" to "Shri Sharda Bhawan Education Society's, College of Education, Nanded" and reduction of B.Ed. intake from two units to one unit vide order dated 09.09.2025. Thus, the WRC reduced the intake on the request of the institution itself.

The Appeal Committee on the basis of above facts and circumstances is of the view that subsequent request for increase in intake has to be governed by the NCTE-Regulation, 2014. In order to get increased intake, institution is required to apply for additional intake as and when the applications are invited by NCTE through

online portal. The Appeal Committee after perusing the documents and oral argument advanced during the hearing, the Committee observed that the appeal of the institution is still deficient on the following points: -

- (i) **The WRC reduced the intake of the institution on the request of the institution. Therefore, the institution may apply for an additional intake as per the provisions of Section 15 of the NCTE Act 1993, as and when the applications are invited by NCTE.**

Hence, the Appeal Committee after perusing the documents which were made available on records is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in rejecting the request for reinstating the intake for B.Ed. Course and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 09.09.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in rejecting the request for reinstating the intake for B.Ed. Course and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 09.09.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in rejecting the request for reinstating the intake for B.Ed. Course and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 09.09.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)
Appeal ID: APPL WRC 202515389

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Shri Sharada Bhavan Education Society's college of Education, Survey no. 6334, C/o Law College Building, Baba Nagar, Nanded, Maharashtra - 431602.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphiston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 15.12.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-472/E- 388945/2025 Appeal/14th Meeting, 2025
APPLWRC202515426 / E-88895

Rajasthan Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyapeeth, Khasra No. 124, 125, 126, 127, 130, Ramgarh Mode, Shahpur, Amer Road, Rajasthan – 302002	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	20.11.2025
Date of Pronouncement	15.12.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Rajasthan Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyapeeth, Khasra No. 124, 125, 126, 127, 130, Ramgarh Mode, Shahpur, Amer Road, Rajasthan - 302002** dated 17.10.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per order no. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2627202505094090 / RAJASTHAN / 2025 / REJC / 1840** dated 12.09.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "(i) The institution has not uploaded NIRF Ranking Certificate. The institution has not obtained letter of recommendation of the State Government. (ii) The institution has not uploaded Not-for-Profit Certificate issued by Competent Authority of State Government. (iii) The institution has not uploaded initial and latest affiliation orders issued by the Affiliating University for all multi-disciplinary programmes. (iv) The name of the institution "RAJASTHAN SHIKSHAK PRASHIKSHAN VIDYAPEETH" mentioned in the online application whereas "RAJASTHAN SANSKRIT SAAHITYA SAMMELAN" for UG Shastri mentioned in the Rajasthan University letter of affiliation with regard to Multi-disciplinary Programmes uploaded by the institution. Both the names of institution are different. The name of affiliating body mentioned as Jagadguru Ramanandacharya Sanskrit University in online application which does not match name of university mentioned in the affiliation letter. Therefore, as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time, the application of the institution does not fall in the category of multi-disciplinary institution. (v) As per information filled in the online application, the institution has not uploaded Recognition Order for B.Ed. course issued by NCTE. (vi) The institution has not uploaded the details of admitted students for all running programmes along with supporting documents. (vii) The institution has not uploaded affidavit on Rs.100/- non-judicial stamp paper mentioning therein land and built-up area of the institution. (viii) The institution has not uploaded latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) issued by Competent Authority of State Government indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances issued by Competent Government Authority. (ix) The institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government mentioning the name of institution, Khasra/Plot/Survey No. and mentioning the total land area and total built up area earmarked for each course being run in the premises

and the demarcated land area and built-up area for the teacher education programmes including multi-disciplinary programmes. (x) The institution has not uploaded Building Safety Certificate in adherence of safety guidelines as prescribed by National/State Disaster Management Authority, issued by Competent Government Authority. (xi) The institution has not uploaded Fire Safety Certificate issued by Fire Safety Department, Government of Maharashtra verifiable on the official portal of the Fire Department, Government of Maharashtra. (xii) The institution has not uploaded geotag photos with different angles of Lift, Ramp. Electricity Connection, Safe Drinking Water and Accessible Toilet indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph. (xiii) The institution has not uploaded geotag photos with different angles of front view, rear view, multipurpose hall, library. Lab 1 and playground, clearly indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph. (xiv) The website of institution has NOT been updated and maintained in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14)(0, 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. (xv) The institution has not uploaded Affidavit on Rs. 100/-non-judicial stamp paper issued by Competent Authority for authorized reparative of the institution.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No One of Rajasthan Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyapeeth, Khasra No. 124, 125, 126, 127, 130, Ramgarh Mode, Shahpur, Amer Road, Rajasthan - 302002 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 20.11.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1. Nirf ranking certificate does not applies to teacher training institutions as of now. 2. The point no. 10 of the society by-laws explicitly make a mention that the society is not for profit. A copy of the same is attached. 3. Initial and latest affiliation orders of all the multidisciplinary programmes are attached. 4. The initial affiliation of the Shiksha Shastri course was started with Rajasthan University in the year 1978 as Prachya Vidyapeeth (Centre for Higher Studies and Research). At that time, the governing committee of the college was Rajasthan Sanskrit Sahitya Sammelan. But in the year 1989, the responsibility of Prachya Vidyapeeth was handed over to Rajasthan Shikshan Prashikshan Vidyapeeth Samiti, registered in 1988, by the governing committee Sammelan. In the year 2006, the name of Rajasthan Shikshan Prashikshan Vidyapeeth Samiti was changed to Rajasthan Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan Samiti. The transfer letter of the institution to the Rajasthan Shikshan Prashikshan

Vidyapeeth Samiti, the registration letter from the Rajasthan Shikshan Prashikshan Vidyapeeth Sameeti and the amended Rajasthan Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan Samiti are enclosed. After the J.R.R.S. University came into existence in 2001, all Sanskrit higher education institutions operating in the state of Rajasthan, which were affiliated to the Rajasthan University or other universities of the state, were affiliated to the Jagatguru Ramanandcharya Rajasthan Sanskrit University. The order of the Sanskrit University is enclosed. In addition, for multidisciplinary programs, the M.O.C. process between Rajasthan Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyapeeth, Jaipur and Lal Bahadur Shastri PG College, Jaipur was also completed on 10.09.2025. The M.O.C., NOC and the affiliation order of L.B.S.P.G. College, Jaipur from Rajasthan University are enclosed. 5. In the application form, the recognition orders of B.Ed., Shiksha Shastri and B.A.B.Ed. courses conducted in the institute were uploaded earlier and attaching them here again. 6. The institution has now uploaded the details of admitted students for all running programs along with supporting documents. 7. The affidavit was not uploaded due to lack of demand on the portal. An affidavit on Rs. 100 non-judicial stamp paper is attached to the objection letter. According to this, the organizations land area is 4931.31 acres and the built-up area is 4952.72 acres. 8. The organizations land is allotted on a lease by the J.D.A. (Jaipur Development Authority), and the lease was obtained only after the prescribed fee was paid. The encumbrance certificate is enclosed, and it is clarified that this certificate is not issued repeatedly by J.D.A. Therefore, the encumbrance certificate issued in 2017 and the revised certificate issued in 2021 are enclosed. 9. A building plan map certified by the Rajasthan governments authorized PWD department was previously attached. A copy of the map is attached again. The map highlights the institutions name, Khasra number, and the courses offered on the campus. The map also lists a total land area of 4931.31 square meters and a built-up area of 4952.72 square meters. 10. The institution has now uploaded Building Safety Certificate in adherence of safety guidelines as prescribed by National/state disaster management authority issued by Competent Authority. 11. For fire safety certificate as prescribed by the Government of Rajasthan related autonomous institutions like the Municipal Corporation is authorized to do so. Accordingly, the fire safety certificate issued by the Municipal Corporation of Jaipur was previously uploaded. It is attached again. It is unclear how the relevant rules of the Maharashtra government can apply to the Rajasthan government. 12. Earlier there was no demand on ITEP Portal, hence the desired

documents which were not uploaded are presented along with geo tagged photos of lift, ramp, electricity connection, safe drinking water and accessible toilet from different angles. 13. The institution has already uploaded geotag photos (with the help of ITEP App) with different angles of front view, rear view, multipurpose hall, library. Lab 1 and playground, clearly indicating the longitude and latitude with date of photograph now also institute is attaching the photos of the mentioned requirements. 14. The Authorized Representation of Institution on non-judicial stamp paper of Rs. 100/- was not previously required on the ITEP portal. Therefore, it is now attached. 15. The institution is in the process of obtaining the letter of recommendation of the state government and university of Rajasthan as well. and has applied for the same and is therefore attaching the oc of the application submitted. The institution will update the same as soon as it receives. 16. The website of institution has now been updated and maintained in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held online on 20th November, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 19.05.2025. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 12.09.2025.

The instant matter placed in its 14th Meeting, 2025 held on 20.11.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee carefully examined the Appeal Report, relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee, after considering the appeal, the impugned order of the Regional Committee, the appeal report, the documents placed on record and the oral submissions of the appellant institution, observed that recognition had been refused

primarily on account of non-compliance with the eligibility requirements stipulated under the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended).

The Committee also referred to the “Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,” dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI, then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:

- (i) The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.***
- (ii) A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.***

The collaboration will be subject to the following:

- (a) Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.***
- (b) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.***
- (c) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.***
- (d) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.***
- (e) One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A. B.Ed., B.Sc. B.Ed., B.Com. B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.***
- (f) Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities, departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)***

- (g) ***NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.***

The Committee further noted the deliberations and resolution adopted by the General Body of NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28th July 2025, which is reproduced below in extenso:

“Decision of the Council:

- i. ***In view of the above, the Council discussed and deliberated the agenda in detail and approved the option III proposed by the Committee as under:***

The final opportunity be provided to all such TEIs including those institutions of which applications were refused/rejected by giving an opportunity to apply afresh online on NCTE Portal. Those institutions which have earlier submitted Transition applications in response to NCTE Public Notice dated 05.02.2024, may be exempted from making payment of processing fee, subject to specifying/mentioning the Registration number of the earlier application submitted.

- ii. ***The portal be opened as above and a Public Notice be issued with direction to all recognised existing TEIs offering B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course (prior to omission of the Appendix-13) to apply afresh except the institutions which have either been already transited into ITEP or issued Letter of Intent (LOI) by the Regional Committee concerned.***
- iii. ***The council also decided that the Guidelines for transforming NCTE recognised stand-alone Teacher Education Institution into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institution issued by NCTE be enclosed with the Public Notice for information to all concerned.***

The Appeal Committee, upon detailed consideration of the Appeal Report, documents placed on record, and oral submissions advanced during the hearing, observed that the deficiencies recorded in the impugned order of the Regional Committee broadly relate to non-fulfilment of infrastructural and statutory requirements prescribed under the NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, as amended.

The Committee noted that subsequent to the issuance of the impugned order dated 24.06.2025, the General Body of the NCTE, in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held

on 28.07.2025, had taken a policy decision providing a final opportunity to all Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs), including those whose applications were earlier refused or rejected, to apply afresh online on the NCTE Portal, in light of the implementation framework for multidisciplinary institutions (MDIs) and the transition to the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP).

The Committee further noted that, in compliance with the above General Body resolution, the NCTE Portal was re-opened for submission of fresh applications, and a Public Notice was issued inviting all eligible institutions to apply afresh within the specified timeline. The said Public Notice prescribed a cut-off date of 5th October 2025 for submission of such fresh applications.

The Committee observed that, as per the said General Body resolution, all previously rejected or refused institutions were afforded an equal opportunity to reapply online within the stipulated time, subject to fulfilment of eligibility norms and without prejudice to earlier decisions. The appellant institution, therefore, was also covered under the said one-time policy relaxation and was expected to avail this opportunity by submitting a fresh online application before the cut-off date of 5th October 2025.

The Committee noted that the decision of the General Body has overriding policy effect and applies uniformly to all similarly situated institutions whose recognition was refused prior to the opening of the portal. Accordingly, the earlier appeals challenging individual refusal orders lose their operative significance once a uniform opportunity to apply afresh is extended under the said resolution.

The Appeal Committee is also mindful of the settled legal principle that when a fresh statutory mechanism is provided affording complete remedy to an affected party, any pending appeal against the earlier administrative order becomes infructuous, as the cause of action stands subsumed in the subsequent policy framework.

In view of the above, and considering that (a) the General Body of NCTE, in its 67th Meeting held on 28.07.2025, has permitted all previously refused/rejected TEIs to apply afresh through the NCTE online portal (b) the portal was reopened for such

applications with a cut-off date of 05.10.2025, and (c) The appellant institution falls within the category of institutions covered under the said resolution and has been provided the same opportunity to reapply, the Appeal Committee holds that the present appeal has become infructuous in view of the fresh opportunity made available under the General Body's policy decision.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee decided to dispose of the appeal as infructuous, in light of the General Body resolution dated 28.07.2025 and the subsequent reopening of the portal for fresh applications up to 05.10.2025.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to dispose of the appeal as infructuous, in light of the General Body resolution dated 28.07.2025 and the subsequent reopening of the portal for fresh applications up to 05.10.2025.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

- 1. The Principal, Rajasthan Shikshak Prashikshan Vidyapeeth, Khasra No. 124, 125, 126, 127, 130, Ramgarh Mode, Shahpur, Amer Road, Rajasthan - 302002.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
- 4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.**